



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Kidman	
Job Title <small>(where relevant)</small>		
Organisation <small>(where relevant)</small>		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Ilkley	
Line 4	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 27.03.14

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text" value="WD1 D"/>	Policy	<input type="text"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	------------------------------------	--------	----------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

New policy proposition

The importance of the Wharfedale railway line is paramount to Ilkley and becomes even more important in the next period where the A65 to Leeds is under increasing pressure from new residential developments already designated in the Leeds Local Plan. Travellers from further out of Leeds are more likely to use the train and passenger numbers will increase.

I propose a new policy that the Wharfedale railway line needs to be specifically protected from any building development activity which might compromise the track stability.

There is a serious 'ring slip' to the East of Ben Rhydding which has been very expensively engineered in recent years to reduce the landslip affecting the railway, which for 20 years or more had a severe speed limit, only recently relaxed. Maintenance and continued adjustment work continue intermittently, because the slope remains volatile. The hillside above and below the line is subject to springs, steep watercourses and unstable slopes. Any activity other than grazing and forestry should only be carried out with approval from acknowledged technical experts.

Any building work in this area which might affect natural drainage should be avoided, because the impacts of the ring slip are not fully understood.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A zone where development should not take place because of its potential impact on slope stability should be clearly established. The whole area is within green belt but needs additional protection.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
yes	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To provide additional details.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:		Date:	27.03.14
---------------	---	-------	----------

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

